## MAP554 – Networks: PC 4

28 September 2016

## Viral marketing: submodular functions and greedy algorithms

Consider the following SIR epidemics model. A graph G on n nodes is given together with an array of infection probabilities  $p_{ij}$  for each  $i, j \in [n]$ .  $p_{ij}$  is the probability that i succeeds to infect j if it has been infected. Given a budget k < n of nodes that one can infect initially, the objective of this problem is to determine a subset  $S \subset [n]$  of size k such that the expected number of nodes infected in the SIR epidemics is maximized.

**1.1** (Algorithmic hardness) The so-called set cover algorithmic problem is defined as follows. Given a collection  $C_1, \ldots, C_m$  of subsets of [N], and a budget k < m, determine whether there is a sub-collection  $C_{i(1)}, \ldots, C_{i(k)}$  of subsets whose union is exactly [N]. This problem is known to be NP-hard.

Show that even when the probabilities  $p_{ij}$  are restricted to equal either 0 or 1, it is NP-hard to find a subset of [n] of size k such that the corresponding SIR epidemics has the largest possible size.

**1.2** A function F defined on subsets of a base set [n] and taking real values is called submodular if for all  $A, B \subset [n]$  one has

$$F(A \cup B) + F(A \cap B) \le F(A) + F(B).$$

Show that the function F defined by letting F(A) be the expected number of eventually infected nodes when  $A \subset [n]$  is the set of initially infected nodes is submodular.

Hint: consider first the case where the  $p_{ij}$  equal 0 or 1, and show that in that case  $M(A \cup B) = M(A) \cup M(B)$  for all  $A, B \subset [n]$ , where M(A) denotes the set of eventually infected nodes with initial set A.

**1.3** Consider a submodular function  $F : 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  taking non-negative values, that is also non-decreasing, i.e.  $A \subset B \Rightarrow F(A) \leq F(B)$ , and submodular.

Consider a **greedy** selection  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  defined by

 $v_i \in \operatorname{argmax}_{v \in [n] \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}\}} F(\{v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v\}).$ 

Let then  $C_i = \{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}, i \in [k]$  and  $C_0 = \emptyset$ . Show that for any set  $C = \{w_1, \ldots, w_k\} \subset [n]$  of size k, and all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ , one has:

$$F(C_{i+1}) - F(C_i) \ge \frac{1}{k} \left[ F(C) - F(C_i) \right].$$
(1)

Hint: Introduce for each j = 0, ..., k the set  $D_j := C_i \cup \{w_1, ..., w_j\}$  and reason about the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^k F(D_j) - F(D_{j-1})$ .

**1.4** Deduce from (1) that for any subset C of size k, one has

$$F(C) - F(C_k) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k \left[F(C) - F(\emptyset)\right]$$

**1.5** Deduce that a greedy selection algorithm yields a solution  $C_k$  such that the corresponding performance  $F(C_k)$  is at least  $(1-1/e) \approx 0.632$  times that of the optimal solution  $\max_{|C|=k} F(C)$ . This result applies to the present viral marketing scenario but also has a large variety of other applications.